

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 SANTIA 04824 01 OF 02 102105Z

67

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W

----- 003172

O 102024Z JUL 75

FM AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3920

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 SANTIAGO 4824

EXDIS

E. O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: CI, CHUM, PFOR

SUBJECT: MEETING WITH PRESIDENT PINOCHET ON UNHRC STUDY GROUP

SUMMARY. PRESIDENT JUSTIFIES DECISION ON UNHRC WORKING GROUP;
INDICATES BAN ON VISIT NOT NECESSARILY FINAL; SUGGESTS WILLINGNESS
RECEIVE "TRULY IMPARTIAL" INVESTIGATIVE GROUP; RECOGNIZES
DECISION POSES DIFFICULTIES FOR USG; BUT NEVERTHELESS REQUESTS
US ASSISTANCE. END SUMMARY.

1. PRESIDENT PINOCHET ASKED ME TO SEE HIM AT HIS HOME AT 11:30
A.M. TODAY, JULY 10. FONMIN CARVAJAL AND DCM ALSO PRESENT.
MEETING LASTED FORTY MINUTES AND WAS CORDIAL THROUGHOUT.

2. PINOCHET BEGAN WITH A JUSTIFICATION OF HIS ACTION IN BANNING
VISIT OF UNHRC WORKING GROUP. THIS WAS COUCHED IN NOW FAMILIAR
TERMS OF EVIDENCE THAT BY COMMUNIST DESIGN CARDS ARE STACKED
AGAINST CHILE IN INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS. RECITAL ON THIS POINT
INCLUDED ALLEGEDLY IMPROPER TRANSMITTAL TO UN BY OAS HUMAN
RIGHTS COMMISSION SECRETARY REQUE OF INCOMPLETE AND CLASSIFIED
REPORT ON CHILE, WITHOUT CHILEAN COMMENTS SUBMITTED THEREON,
WHICH SUBSEQUENTLY PUBLISHED BY UN AND NOW SELLING IN US;
THE PROCEDURAL IRREGULARITIES IN THE ILO CONDEMNATION OF CHILE
AND THE ACTION OF THE MEXICO CITY CONFERENCE ON INTERNATIONAL
WOMEN'S YEAR; EVIDENCE THAT COMMUNISTS WERE DETERMINED TO UTILIZE
WORKING GROUP VISIT, THROUGH STAGED SEEKING OF ASYLUM IN
EMBASSIES, DEMONSTRATIONS, ETC., TO PROVOKE GOC REACTIONS WHICH
WOULD MAR CHILEAN IMAGE; AND IMPROPER BEHAVIOR OF WORKING GROUP
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 SANTIA 04824 01 OF 02 102105Z

ITSELF IN TAKING TESTIMONY IN PARIS, NEW YORK (ALLEGEDLY WITH HELP

OF CUBAN AMBASSADOR) AND LIMA, BEFORE COMING TO CHILE, WITH INTENTION OF SUBSEQUENTLY PREPARING ITS REPORT IN CARACAS, A HOTBED OF CHILEAN REFUGEES.

3. I SAID I COULD APPRECIATE CHILEAN MOTIVATION AND COULD UNDERSTAND AN EMOTIONAL REACTION. HOWEVER, I WONDERED WHETHER COST OF TAKING THIS STEP MIGHT NOT EXCEED COST WHICH GOC FORESAW WAS INVOLVED IN VISIT.

4. PRESIDENT SAID HE HAD CONSIDERED MATTER VERY CAREFULLY AND WAS PERSUADED THAT THE GROUP WOULD CONDEMN GOC IF IT CAME. HE THOUGHT THAT THE VERY ACT OF ACCEPTING THE VISIT OF THIS WORKING GROUP TENDED TO CONVEY AN IMPRESSION THAT ITS IMPARTIALITY WAS LIKEWISE ACCEPTED. IF GROUP THEN CONDEMNED CHILE, AS HE FULLY EXPECTED, CONSEQUENCES WOULD BE WORSE THAN THOSE OF DENYING THE GROUP ENTRY.

5. I SAID THAT PART OF THE PROBLEM WAS THE DRAMATIC IMPACT OF THIS

DBRL UJUN(#)

AFTER CHILE HAD ADMITTED A NUMBER OF OTHER INVESTIGATIVE GROUPS AND HAD AGREED TO ADMIT THIS ONE. IF GROUP CAME AND THEN CONDEMNED CHILE, AND GOC THEN WISHED SAY THERE WAS EVIDENCE THAT IT COULD NOT OBTAIN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL INVESTIGATION AND WOULD NOT ACCEPT SUCH SUCH GROUPS IN THE FUTURE, THAT WOULD BE ONE POSITION. BUT TO HAVE ACCEPTED THE GROUP AND THEN TO REFUSE ENTRY SEEMED DIFFICULT TO JUSTIFY PUBLICLY IN A CONVINCING WAY. FURTHERMORE, A REPORT ISSUED BY THE STUDY GROUP WITHOUT VISITING CHILE WOULD PROBABLY BE WORSE FOR CHILE THAN ONE ISSUED AFTER A VISIT.

6. PRESIDENT REVERTED TO POINT THAT CHILEAN EXPERIENCE WITH PREVIOUS GROUPS HAD BEEN HIGHLY UNSATISFACTORY. HE WAS PERSUADED IT WAS BETTER TO REFUSE UNHRC GROUP ENTRY THAN TO LEND CREDENCE TO ITS INEVITABLE CONDEMNATION OF CHILE BY PERMITTING IT TO COME.

7. I SAID THAT COL. VALDES, UNDER SECRETARY OF FOREIGN RELATIONS, AND AMB. TRUCCO HAD SEEN ACTING SECRETARY INGERSOLL AND ASST. SEC. ROGERS YESTERDAY. I UNDERSTOOD THAT THE ACTING SECRETARY HAD EXPLAINED THAT WHILE WE HAD MADE EVERY EFFORT TO BE HELPFUL
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 SANTIA 04824 01 OF 02 102105Z

TO CHILE, INEVITABLY THIS DECISION MADE IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR US TO CONTINUE TO DO SO. THE HOPE HAD BEEN EXPRESSED THAT IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE TO CONSIDER THE DECISION TAKEN NOT AS A CANCELLATION OF THE VISIT BUT AS A POSTPONEMENT, AND TO WORK TOWARD RESCHEDULING THE VISIT.

8. THE PRESIDENT SAID THAT THE DECISION COULD BE REGARDED AS A POSTPONEMENT RATHER THAN A DEFINITIVE CANCELLATION. POSSIBLY

SOMETHING COULD BE DONE TOWARD THE END OF THE YEAR.
HE SAID HE WAS NOT OPPOSED TO THE VISIT OF AN IMPARTIAL GROUP;
INDEED HE WOULD WELCOME A VISIT BY TRUSTWORTHY, PRESTIGIOUS
"MEN OF GOOD WILL". HE THEN COMMENTED AGAIN ON THE EVIDENCE
OF PARTIALITY IN THE BEHAVIOR OF THE WORKING GROUP IN TAKING
TESTIMONY BEFORE COMING TO CHILE AND IN PLANNING TO GO TO
CARACAS THERAFTER.

9. I SAID I DID NOT FIND IT UNREASONABLE FOR THE GROUP TO TAKE
TESTIMONY PRIOR TO ARRIVAL IN CHILE, TO GAIN PERSPECTIVE ON
THE PRESENT SITUATION, THOUGH PERHAPS ITS PLAN TO PROCEED TO
CARACAS COULD BE CONSIDERED IMPRUDENT. HOWEVER, THESE ITEMS
WERE NOT NECESSARILY EVIDENCE THAT IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO
OBTAIN A FAIR HEARING FROM THE WORKING GROUP. OBVIOUSLY THE GROUP
WAS NOT GOING TO DEPART SAYING THAT THE SITUATION IN CHILE WAS
PERFECT. ON THE OTHER HAND, I THOUGHT IT ENTIRELY POSSIBLE
THAT HAVING SEEN THE SITUATION IN CHILE FOR ITSELF, HAVING HAD
FREEDOM TO TALK TO DETAINEES AND THE MAN IN THE STREET, AS WELL
AS TO GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, IT MIGHT VERY WELL PRODUCE A BALANCED
REPORT.

NOTE BY OC/T: (#) AS RECEIVED

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 SANTIAGO 04824 02 OF 02 102110Z

67

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W
----- 003269

O 102024Z JUL 75

FM AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3921

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 SANTIAGO 4824

EXDIS

10. THE PRESIDENT LAUGHINGLY SAID THAT I WAS A GOOD ADVOCATE,
BUT HE STILL THOUGHT DECISIVE ACTION OF THE KIND HE HAD TAKEN
WAS WHAT THE POLITICAL SITUATION AT THE UN REQUIRED. HE NOTED
THAT THE US SOMETIMES ACTED DECISIVELY TOO, AND IN HIS OPINION
TO VERY GOOD EFFECT. HE RECOGNIZED THE DECISION POSED PROBLEMS
FOR US AND OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH CHILE. HE REITERATED THAT CHILE
IS AND HAS BEEN A GREATER FRIEND OF THE US THAN THE US IS OF

CHILE. HE REMARKED, AS HE HAD WITH ME A FEW DAYS PREVIOUSLY, UPON CHILE'S TRULY DESPERATE PLIGHT IN TERMS OF LACK OF MILITARY SUPPLIES AND SAID HE REALLY DID NOT KNOW WHERE TO TURN. HE EXPRESSED HOPE FOR US UNDERSTANDING AND SUPPORT.

11. I SAID I WOULD BE DEPARTING THIS EVENING ON CONSULTATION IN WASHINGTON AND NATURALLY THESE MATTERS WOULD BE OF TOP PRIORITY IN MY TALKS.

12. COMMENT: THE PRESIDENT REALLY HAS NOT PROVIDED ANYTHING NEW IN THE WAY OF JUSTIFICATION FOR HIS ACTION, SAVE POSSIBLE HIS CONCERN THAT CONDEMNATION BY A UNINVESTIGATIVE GROUP WOULD REPRESENT A SERIOUS WATERSHED IN TERMS OF CHILE'S FUTURE IN THE UN AND RELATED AGENCIES, AND POSSIBLY WITH US.

13. THE PRESIDENT NOW TAKES THE POSITION THAT HIS DECISION WAS TO POSTPONE RATHER THAN CANCEL THE VISIT OF THE GROUP. MY IMPRESSION IS THAT POSITION IS MORE FORMAL AND TACTICAL THAN A MATTER OF CONVICTION. BUT IT IS SOMETHING FOR US TO WORK ON.

14. PRESIDENT'S REFERENCE TO THE VISIT OF A TRULY IMPARTIAL GROUP
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 SANTIA 04824 02 OF 02 102110Z

MIGHT LATER CONCEIVABLY PROVIDE A BASIS FOR SOME PROGRESS IN THIS AREA. OF COURSE, WHAT CHILE MOST WANTS IS A PRESTIGIOUS GROUP WHICH WILL JUSTIFY GOC ACTIONS PAST AND PRESENT. THIS IS OBVIOUSLY IMPOSSIBLE TO ACHIEVE. ON THE OTHER HAND, IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE TO SUGGEST A GROUP SUFFICIENTLY OBJECTIVE TO BE FREE, EVEN IN CHILE'S EYES, OF THE TAINT OF COMMUNIST INFILTRATION OR MANIPULATION AND WHICH WOULD BE WILLING TO CARRY OUT AN INVESTIGATION HERE. ONE POSSIBILITY MIGHT BE A GROUP OF JURISTS SELECTED FROM THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE, OR SOME SIMILAR GROUP OF UNCOMMITTED PERSONALITIES. THERE WOULD STILL REMAIN, HOWEVER, THE QUESTION OF WHO WOULD CREATE, ORGANIZE AND FINANCE SUCH AN OPERATION. END COMMENT.
POPPER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: RESEARCH, HUMAN RIGHTS, VISITS, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 JUL 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975SANTIA04824
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750239-0026
From: SANTIAGO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750769/aaaacjfd.tel
Line Count: 209
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 20 MAY 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 MAY 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <02 OCT 2003 by CunninFX>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MEETING WITH PRESIDENT PINOCHET ON UNHRC STUDY GROUP SUMMARY. PRESIDENT JUSTIFIES DECISION ON UNHRC WORKING GROUP:
TAGS: SHUM, PFOR, CI, US, UNHRC, (PINOCHET UGARTE, AUGUSTO)
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006